

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/743,112	KAMAKURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kristie Shingles	2141	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ALLOWED

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Jonathan Backenstose, Atty 47,399.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2007
Time: 4:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:


n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to amend the claim language in order to correct minor typographical informalities of the independent claims—claims 1-3 and 11-14. Atty granted Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)